

APPLICATION NO.

09/510,652

20999

# United States Pagent and Trademark Office

FILING DATE

02/22/2000

7590

745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.

NEW YORK, NY 10151

FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG

04/11/2005

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usnb.eov

PAPER NUMBER

	www.uspto.gov	
FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
Ralf Bohnke	450117-02428	1553
	EXAMINER	
	DEPPE, BETSY LEE	

ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 04/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>,</i>			
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/510,652	BOHNKE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Betsy L. Deppe	2637	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 December</u> 2a)    This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)    This  3)    Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-48</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-29,32,34-40,43 and 45-48</u> is/are re 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>30, 31, 33, 41, 42, and 44</u> is/are object 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. ejected. eted to.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>Dec. 3. 2004</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date     </li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2637

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

1. The drawings were received on December 3, 2004. These drawings are acceptable.

### Specification

2. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

#### Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).

Art Unit: 2637

- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

it does not include section headings according to the preferred layout of the specification;

page 6 refers to cancelled claims. The Examiner suggests that claim numbers are not used in the detailed description in order to avoid confusion in the event that the claims renumbered upon allowance/issue;

on page 16, line 16, it appears that "e.g." should be deleted Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Objections

4. The claims are objected to because of the following informalities:

in claim 27, line 1, "Receiving" should be "A receiving";

on lines 1 of claims 28-37, "Receiving" should be "The receiving";

in claims 27-37, the introduction of a means limitation should be preceded by "a".

For example, in claim 27, line 3, "receiving means" should be "<u>a</u> receiving means" and in claim 27, line 8, "correlation means" should be "<u>a</u> correlation means."

in claim 28, line 2, "aid" should be "said";

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2637

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Page 4

Claims 34-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to 6. comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification does not describe providing either the output signal of the correlation means or of the detection means to a peak threshold detection means and a gap detection means (see claims 34-37) wherein the synchronizing means includes a correlation means and a detection means (see claim 27, lines 8-10). Figure 13 shows that the peak threshold detection means (29) and the gap detection means (30-32) receives a value of |z(i)|. Figures 7 and 9 show a correlation circuit and detection circuit wherein the detection circuit provides |z(i)|. According to Figure 11, a correlation circuit provides |z(i)|. Based on the Examiner's understanding, it appears that any of the circuits shown in Figures 7, 9 or 11 may be used to provide |z(i)| to the circuit of Figure 13. However, it appears that if the embodiment in Figure 11 is used, then a detection circuit (as recited in claim 27) is not necessary.

Since claim 27 recites a synchronizing means comprised of a correlation means and a detection means, it appears that claim 27 corresponds to the embodiments shown in Figure 7 or 9. The description corresponding to these embodiments do not

Application/Control Number: 09/510,652 Page 5

Art Unit: 2637

describe providing the output of the correlation means to peak threshold detection means (29) and the gap detection means (30-32). I.e. the detailed disclosure does not describe how the peak threshold detection means (29) and the gap detection means (30-32) would process the output of the correlation means r(i). Therefore, one skilled in the art would not be enabled to make and/or use the claimed invention.

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 45-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 9. Claims 45-48 recite the limitation "said detection step" in the respective claims. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim 38. Although claim 38 recites "using the phase-shifted information . . . to detect," it does not explicitly recite a detecting step.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2637

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 6

- 11. Claims 27, 28, 38 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ballarin et al. (EP 0 702 467 A1, cited in the IDS filed February 22, 2000, Paper No. 4). Ballarin et al. discloses a receiving means and a synchronising means comprising a cross correlation means (30A) and a detection means (18) wherein there is a plurality of successive repetitive patterns (AB and CD) whereby the last repetitive pattern (CD) is phase-shifted in relation to the other repetitive patterns (AB). (See Figures 1, 2 and 4; page 2, lines 2-13; and page 3, lines 3-24 and 29-51)
- 12. Claims 27-29 and 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dölle et al. (US Patent No. 6,160,821 cited in the Office Action mailed April 17, 2003).
- 13. With regard to claims 27, 28, 38 and 39, the abstract and Figure 4 of Dölle et al. disclose the claimed invention including a receiving means and a synchronizing means (18) comprised of a correlation means (30-36) and a detection means (20) wherein the phase-shifted repetition pattern is phase-shifted by 180° in relation to the other repetition patterns.
- 14. With regard to claims 29 and 40, Figure 4 of Dölle et al. disclose the claimed invention including a correlation window length corresponding to the length of one

Art Unit: 2637

repetition pattern. (See 30 in Figure 40) Furthermore, the output of the correlation means is supplied to detection means 20.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 7

- 15. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 16. Claims 29, 32, 40 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ballarin et al.
- 17. With regard to claims 29 and 40, Ballarin et al. discloses the claimed invention except for a correlation length corresponding to the length of one repetition pattern.

  Since Ballarin et al. discloses that the reference sequence may be short to restrict the necessary hardware (see page 3, lines 52-53), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a window length of one repetition pattern in order to achieve get more precise synchronization while conserving hardware.
- 18. With regard to claims 32 and 43, Ballarin et al. discloses the claimed invention except for a cross correlation window length corresponding to the length of two repetition patterns. Since Ballarin et al. discloses that the reference sequence may be short to restrict the necessary hardware (see page 3, lines 52-53), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a

Art Unit: 2637

window length of two repetition patterns in order to achieve get more precise synchronization while conserving hardware.

19. Claims 32 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Dölle et al. in view of Ballarin et al. (US Patent No. 6,009,125 cited in the Office Action mailed April 17, 2003).

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

Dölle et al. discloses the claimed invention except for a correlation window length corresponding to the length of two repetition patterns. Ballarin et al. teaches that the

Art Unit: 2637

Page 9

length of the correlation window may be varied based on hardware requirements. (See column 4, lines 10-17) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to increase the correlation window length in Dölle et al. based on the hardware requirements and the desired accuracy for detecting maximum peak correlation.

### Allowable Subject Matter

20. Claims 30, 31, 33, 41, 42, and 44 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Betsy L. Deppe whose telephone number is (571) 272-3054. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Thursday (8:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571) 272 - 2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2637

Page 10

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Betsy L. Deppe Primary Examiner Art Unit 2637